

# The Hong Kong Daily Press.

No. 8795

號五十九百七千八第

日九十二月正年二十緒光

HONGKONG, THURSDAY, MARCH 4th, 1886.

第百四

號四月三英曆

[PRICE \$2 PER MONTH]

## SHIPPING.

## ARRIVALS.

March 3, THAMES, British steamer, 820, 24th February, 1886, Amoy 24th, and Swatow 1st March, General—DOUGLAS LARPAK &amp; Co.

March 3, CLARA, German str., 674, C. Christensen, Saigon 23rd February, Rice and Paddy—STUMMEN &amp; Co.

March 3, DANUBE, British steamer, 551, Wotow, Swatow 1st March, General—YUN FAT HONG.

March 3, YANOSSE, British steamer, 814, Schultze, Shanghai 27th February, General—STUMMEN &amp; Co.

March 3, JAPANESE, British steamer, 1204, Moore, Saigon 24th February, Rice and Paddy—ADAMSON, BELL &amp; Co.

March 3, FERO, German steamer, 754, Sorenson, Chetoo 23rd February, General—STUMMEN &amp; Co.

March 3, FLINTSHIRE, British steamer, 1017, Doncaster, Saigon 24th February, Rice and Paddy—ADAMSON, BELL &amp; Co.

March 3, FURCA, British steamer, 675, Ash-ton, Penang 18th February, and Singapore 20th, General—DOUGLAS LARPAK &amp; Co.

March 3, NAM-VIANG, French steamer, 472, Garcau, Haiphong 1st March, General—A. R. MARTY.

March 3, MONTENAP, British steamer, 1300, Dodson, Liverpool 16th January, and Singapore 25th February, General—BUTTERFIELD &amp; SWIRE.

March 3, MYRON, American ship, 1158, T. B. Glove, San Francisco 15th December, General—MELCHERS &amp; Co.

## CLEARANCES.

AT THE HARBOR MASTER'S OFFICE.

3rd MARCH.

F. H. DREW, German bark, for Sandakan, Oceania, British str., for Saigon.

Albany, British str., for Saigon.

Crescent, British str., for Haiphong.

Felix, British str., for Amoy.

Eunard, British str., for Amoy.

Chintan, Chinese str., for Shanghai.

R. F. Whitney, Am. ship, for Cebu.

Chalydra, British str., for Yokohama.

## DEPARTURES.

March 3, CHIEF, British str., for Saigon.

March 3, ALBANY, British str., for Saigon.

March 3, CHIEF, British str., for Yokohama.

March 3, YANOSSE, British str., for Haiphong.

## PASSENGERS.

ARRIVED.

Per Danube, steamer, from Saigon—202 Chinese.

Per Thales, str., from Haiphong, &amp;c.—Messrs. Spence, Latta, and Hawthell, and 197 Chinese.

Per Fero, str., from Saigon—3 Chinese.

Per Japanese, str., from Saigon—4 Chinese.

Per Yangtze, str., from Shanghai—Mr. Schmidt, Mr. Strom, and 15 Chinese.

Per Formosa, str., from Penang, &amp;c.—341 Chinese.

Per Nam-vian, str., from Haiphong—2 Chinese.

Per Menelaus, str., from Liverpool, &amp;c.—Dr. and Mrs. Plun, Mr. Currie, and 35 Chinese.

TO DEPART.

Per German, steamer, to Saigon—For Singapore—Miss Ryan, Miss Cooke, Mr. and Mrs. Chan Kim Lee, For Penang—Mrs. Koh Ah Soek, For Brindley—Mr. H. St. John Brown, For Malacca—Messrs. G. H. J. van der Meer, and H. B. Coombes, For London—Mrs. Schmidt, For Madras and 2 children, Mrs. Oat and 3 children, Mrs. Weeks and 3 children, Sergeant Butler, Jones, McKay, and McDuffy, From Shanghai—For London—Mr. and Mrs. J. W. Thompson, Mr. White and child, For Melbourne—Mr. R. B. Webb.

## REPORTS.

The French steamer Nam-vian reports very foggy weather all the way.

The British steamer Flintshire reports had fine weather and fog when leaving Hongkong, detained for 36 hours in consequence.

The British steamer Yangtze reports had fog from Monday night and had to anchor on the 2nd at 1 o'clock in the afternoon.

The British steamer Danube reports left Swatow on the 1st inst., and had dense fog throughout; anchored 24 hours off East Ninigang.

The British steamer Menelaus reports generally fair weather, then fog in reaching Hongkong, stopping for a short time in consequence.

The British steamer Formosa reports strong N.E. trade and heavy sea; no wind; moderate monsoon. March 1st, 1.15 p.m. anchored off Peak Rock; dense fog. 2nd, 9.50 a.m. fog clearing proceeded to port. After being anchored and stopped several times by fog.

The German steamer Clara reports left Saigon on the 23rd ult., encountered fresh breeze increasing to a gale with sea up to Cape Padaran, from thence to Penang, fine weather with moderate breeze; from Penang, to Port of N.E. breeze, the last three days of passage navigation nearly impossible on account of thick fog.

The British steamer Thales reports left Swatow on the 1st inst., had moderate N.E. wind and fine weather. Left Amoy on the 2nd inst. at 9 a.m. in consequence of fog; the S.W. point of the Lardner and had slight damage for peak, looking slightly. Anchored at 10.45 a.m. on the same day, and started anchor on the 3rd at 5 a.m. and was able to proceed on the 3rd at 1 p.m. Reports a narrow escape from running ashore. Stopped and reversed engines just in time to escape, which suddenly coming close to breakers.

The British steamer Japanese reports had moderate westerly winds, and when nearing Hongkong dense fog set in. Grounded on the 2nd inst. at 9 a.m. in consequence of fog; the S.W. point of the Lardner and had slight damage for peak, looking slightly. Anchored at 10.45 a.m. on the same day, and started anchor on the 3rd at 5 a.m. and was able to proceed on the 3rd at 1 p.m. Reports a narrow escape from running ashore. Stopped and reversed engines just in time to escape, which suddenly coming close to breakers.

VESSELS ARRIVED IN EUROPE FROM PORTS IN CHINA, JAPAN, AND MANILA.

(For last Mail's Advice.)

Ningbo (s), Shanghai, Jan. 18

Huntington (s), Shanghai, Jan. 18

Olympia (s), Shanghai, Jan. 18

## INTIMATIONS.

## NEW GOODS!!

## NEW GOODS!!!

EX "GLENAGARY."

## CHRISTY'S FELT HATS.

## TRAVELLING TRUNKS.

## SOLID-LEATHER PORTMANTEAUS.

## SOLID-LEATHER WRITING-FOLIOS.

## SILK UMBRELLAS.

## "PERFECT" TENNIS BALLS.

## WIMBLEDON TENNIS BALLS.

## NEW MEERSCHAUM PIPES.

## BRIAR-ROOT PIPES.

## LANE, CRAWFORD &amp; Co.

Hongkong, 3rd March, 1886.

## KELLY AND WALSH, LIMITED.

Whitaker's Almanack, 1886.

Sutton's Roman Law and the Law of England.

Dynamo-Electric Machinery—Silvius Thompson.

Tobacco, Naturalists' Wandering in Eastern.

Wandering in China—Miss Gordon-Cumming.

Quiver's Every Day Life in China.

Dun's Regulations—New Edition—corrected.

Manual of Elementary Field Engineering.

Company and Battalion Drill.

Infantry, Cavalry and Carbine Squad-Battalion Exercises.

Royal Warrant, Pay and Non-Effective Pay.

Field Exercises.

Sutton's Manual of Marine Engineering.

Scott's Landscape Painting in Oil.

Almanack de Gotha, 1886.

Vanderbilt's Photography for Amateurs.

Pictorial World Christmas number.

The Lion and the Frog: a complete answer to John Bull's Neighbour in her first light.

The Lion and the Frog: a complete answer to John Bull's Neighbour in her first light.

The Lion and the Frog: a complete answer to John Bull's Neighbour in her first light.

The Lion and the Frog: a complete answer to John Bull's Neighbour in her first light.

The Lion and the Frog: a complete answer to John Bull's Neighbour in her first light.

The Lion and the Frog: a complete answer to John Bull's Neighbour in her first light.

The Lion and the Frog: a complete answer to John Bull's Neighbour in her first light.

The Lion and the Frog: a complete answer to John Bull's Neighbour in her first light.

The Lion and the Frog: a complete answer to John Bull's Neighbour in her first light.

The Lion and the Frog: a complete answer to John Bull's Neighbour in her first light.

The Lion and the Frog: a complete answer to John Bull's Neighbour in her first light.

The Lion and the Frog: a complete answer to John Bull's Neighbour in her first light.

The Lion and the Frog: a complete answer to John Bull's Neighbour in her first light.

The Lion and the Frog: a complete answer to John Bull's Neighbour in her first light.

The Lion and the Frog: a complete answer to John Bull's Neighbour in her first light.

The Lion and the Frog: a complete answer to John Bull's Neighbour in her first light.

The Lion and the Frog: a complete answer to John Bull's Neighbour in her first light.

The Lion and the Frog: a complete answer to John Bull's Neighbour in her first light.

The Lion and the Frog: a complete answer to John Bull's Neighbour in her first light.

The Lion and the Frog: a complete answer to John Bull's Neighbour in her first light.

The Lion and the Frog: a complete answer to John Bull's Neighbour in her first light.

The Lion and the Frog: a complete answer to John Bull's Neighbour in her first light.

The Lion and the Frog: a complete answer to John Bull's Neighbour in her first light.

The Lion and the Frog: a complete answer to John Bull's Neighbour in her first light.

The Lion and the Frog: a complete answer to John Bull's Neighbour in her first light.

The Lion and the Frog: a complete answer to John Bull's Neighbour in her first light.

The Lion and the Frog: a complete answer to John Bull's Neighbour in her first light.

The Lion and the Frog: a complete answer to John Bull's Neighbour in her first light.

The Lion and the Frog: a complete answer to John Bull's Neighbour in her first light.

The Lion and the Frog: a complete answer to John Bull's Neighbour in her first light.

The Lion and the Frog: a complete answer to John Bull's Neighbour in her first light.

The Lion and the Frog: a complete answer to John Bull's Neighbour in her first light.

The Lion and the Frog: a complete answer to John Bull's Neighbour in her first light.

The Lion and the Frog: a complete answer to John Bull's Neighbour in her first light.

The Lion and the Frog: a complete answer to John Bull's Neighbour in her first light.

The Lion and the Frog: a complete answer to John Bull's Neighbour in her first light.

The Lion and the Frog: a complete answer to John Bull's Neighbour in her first light.

The Lion and the Frog: a complete answer to John Bull's Neighbour in her first light.

The Lion and the Frog: a complete answer to John Bull's Neighbour in her first light.

The Lion and the Frog: a complete answer to John Bull's Neighbour in her first light.

The Lion and the Frog: a complete answer to John Bull's Neighbour in her first light.

The Lion and the Frog: a complete answer to John Bull's Neighbour in her first light.

The Lion and the Frog: a complete answer to John Bull's Neighbour in her first light.

The Lion and the Frog: a complete answer to John Bull's Neighbour in her first light.

The Lion and the Frog: a complete answer to John Bull's Neighbour in her first light.

The Lion and the Frog: a complete answer to John Bull's Neighbour in her first light.

The Lion and the Frog: a complete answer to John Bull's Neighbour in her first light.

The Lion and the Frog: a complete answer to John Bull's Neighbour in her first light.

The Lion and the Frog: a complete answer to John Bull's Neighbour in her first light.

The Lion and the Frog: a complete answer to John Bull's Neighbour in her first light.

The Lion and the Frog: a complete answer to John Bull's Neighbour in her first light.

The Lion and the Frog: a complete answer to John Bull's Neighbour in her first light.

## BANKS.

## HONGKONG AND SHANGHAI BANKING CORPORATION.

PAID-UP CAPITAL \$5,000,000.

RESERVE FUND \$500,000.

REVENUE FOR DEVALUATION OF 500,000.

DIVIDENDS 7,500,000.

RESERVE LIABILITY OF PRO-PRIETORS.

COURT OF DIRECTORS—Chairman—A. McIVER, Esq.

Deputy Chairman—W. G. GIBBS, Esq.

C. D. BAKER, Esq. Hon. V. K. GOWD, Esq.

H. L. DUNLOP, Esq. A. P. McLEOD, Esq.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

H. H. HARRISON, Esq. Hon. F. D. STASSON.

## AUCTIONS.

## PUBLIC AUCTION.

THE Undersigned has received instructions to sell by Public Auction.

THIS DAY.

the 4th March, 1886, at 2 p.m. at his Sales Room, Queen's Road.

OF ASSORTMENTS OF

JAPANESE WARE.

Comprising—

KANGA, KIRO, TOKI, and SATSUMA

VASES, LAR, BOWLS, PLATES, TEA

AND COFFEE SETS, RICE, GOLD AND

SILVER INLAND BOWLS, ARMOUR,

SWORDS, PANELS, BRICKWOOD INLAID

BRACKETS and CABINETS, SILK EM-

BROIDERED KIMONOS, SCREENS,

OLD NICHIE, EMBROIDERIES,

DRESSING GOWNS, SILK HANDKER-

CHIEFS.

No. 1. No. 2. No. 3.

TERMS OF SALE—As Customary.

J. M. ARMSTRONG,

Hongkong, 1st March, 1886.

## PUBLIC AUCTION.

OF

ENGLISH-MADE AND CANTON-MADE

HOUSEHOLD FURNITURE,

PIANO, &amp;c.

THE Undersigned



plaintiff whether  
work for \$3,000.

tion, agreed to do the work for the price, and the document was subsequently drawn up by the defendant which purported to embody this verbal agreement. The plaintiff did not understand English, and as his Lordship would see he signed the document without having any proper interpretation or translation of it, nor at that time were any plans or specifications attached. Subsequently the plans were shown to him, and the plaintiff pointed out at once that they were not in accordance with the work

had verbally agreed to carry out. The defendant thereupon told the plaintiff that he must do what work he wanted, he did not want the plaintiff to lose by the transaction, and he would pay him more money than the contract price. Mr. Bailey attached considerable importance to these words, as it was upon the faith of them that the plaintiff entered upon the work. The work was not completed by the time agreed upon the end of January, 1885, and the subsequent

extension of two months' time was given, with the penalty clause of \$1,000 for its non-completion was attached. The plaintiff had no objection to the penalty clause, as he had no doubt of his power to complete the work in the time. He was, however, prevented from doing so by difficulties which Mr. Musso placed in his way, preventing his obtaining certain timber, &c. He was then ordered to quit the work by a writ from the Summary Jurisdiction Court, and Mr. Palmer consented to give the work which remained to be done to another contractor.

done under the contract, that gentleman giving the sum of \$114.68 as the amount. Mr. Palmer also found that extra work to the contract had been done by the plaintiff, and this he valued at \$600.00. In the second agreement there was also a clause to this peculiar effect—that Wong Amow was not to make any claim for extras unless specially ordered in writing by Mr. Musso. The extra work reported on by Mr. Palmer was, however, not done previously to the making of this stipulation.

and would, therefore, not apply to it. The defendant paid the plaintiff instalments amounting to \$1,622, but the defendant appeared to him to adopt an extraordinary course in making the payments to the plaintiff, as he obtained from the latter a promissory note for each payment. When the written agreement was given to the plaintiff to sign he was told it did agree with his original verbal agreement, and it was on this basis that he signed it. He did not know when he subsequently saw the plans and specifications that he feared it did not. All along it

plaintiff had gone on his verbal agreement. Even supposing the plaintiff were bound by the written contract, he had only received \$1,622, and he (Mr. Bailey) should submit he was entitled to recover the balance of the \$3,000. The defendant had made no statement of any damage he had sustained by the non-completion of the contract apart from the extra money expended to complete the work, and he contended no damage was caused.

The plaintiff was then called and gave evidence as to having gone out to the house with Mr Musso and agreed to do the work for \$3,900. The contract was afterwards signed, which was interpreted by a man named Li On; it was stated there was no Chinese translation. He first saw the plan in October or November, after the work had commenced. The plan did not agree with the work he had agreed to do. The witness went on to give details of the work he had done.

Mr. Francis said that he understood the plaintiff's evidence his case was that the contract was to rebuild and restore the old house, and he was reasonably required to enlarge it considerably.

The plaintiff continuing his evidence, said that house he agreed to build! was to be like the old house, but deeper by one pillar. The plan made was considerably larger. He observed this when he saw the plan, and pointed it out to the defendant, who said—"I no wachase you loss money on my house. I will give you some more." He gave

on with the work on these terms. The defendant made many alterations to the plan. Witness received \$100 from the defendant, and afterwards \$500 odd, which was to be considered \$800. \$78 being deducted for Mr. Danby's charges for going to see the house, solicitor's fee, etc. Witness had never agreed to pay these expenses. He received in all \$1,622.

His Lordship asked if the specifications and plan were signed.

Mr. Francis said the specifications were signed, but not the plan.

His Lordship said he asked the question because he thought it might save time.

Mr. Francis said he would not have defended the action on the grounds he did if the specifications had not been signed. The plaintiff was not the original contractor; he took the contract over from another man and paid \$300 for it.

Mr. Francis cross-examined the plaintiff a considerable length with a view of showing that

he had at different times put in very interesting work which had had to be removed, and that he had outraged upon the building an altogether inadequate staff of workmen.

The cross-examination was not completed when the Court rose, and the hearing was adjourned to 10.30 this morning.

---

POULCH COURT

POLICE COURT.  
—♦—  
3rd March.  
—♦—  
BEFORE BOTH MAGISTRATES.  
—♦—  
HABBING SNATCHING.  
Ma Shu, unemployed, pleaded guilty to steal

U Afat, hawker, was charged with having been concerned with others not in custody in robbing Wong Yuk Shang, shopkeeper, of a dollar in silver, on the 23rd ult.

The complainant, who said he was master of

the Po Fung Tai shop. Bonham Stroud, said on the night of the 23rd ult., about 8 o'clock, he was walking in Queen's-road Central, near the Marine Insurance Company's office, when four men came of whom the defendant was one, set upon him. The defendant seized him round the neck, two others held his arms, and the fourth took his money from his purse. The defendant held on to him after the other three men bolted, and complainant seized him and held him until a constable came and took him into custody.

The prisoner, who said he was merely passing by when he was set upon, accused of robbery, beaten, and arrested, was convicted and sentenced to two years' imprisonment with hard labour.

**BEFORE MR. A. G. WISE.**

**DRUNKENNESS.**

James Sims, seaman, was fined 50 cents for

having been drunk and incapable in the street on the 2nd inst.

A VAGABOND.

John Burn, sailmaker, was charged with having been drunk and incapable on the 2nd inst., and with being a rogue and vagabond.

The defendant has been loafing about the colony for months and had been in gaol seven times before, and on the last occasion Mr. Mitchell-Turnes sentenced him to three months' hard

labour as a rogue and vagabond, but re-considered his decision, and sent the man to gaol for four days in default of paying a fine of \$1.

His Worship sentenced the defendant to three months' hard labour.

DEUNKEN ROW ON BOARD SHIP.

Robert Brinckhauser, Gustav Wilkstrom, August Anderson, and Hans Jensen, seamen of the German steamer *Protos*, were summoned by Captain Conrad Sorenson, the master, on charges

of drunkenness and disorderly conduct on board that vessel on the 23th ult.

Mr. Wilson, from the office of Messrs Wotton and Deacon, appeared for the prosecution.

There were a number of drunken men on board the *Proton* on the day in question, and they were causing a good deal of disturbance. The third defendant was told to get the first defendant below, and he seized hold of the man and tried to make him go, but the first defendant

draw a knife and stabbed him on the shoulder. Hearing something called out about a knife the chief mate, Raddolph Leisberg, came out of the cabin, but while going up the companion way the second defendant threw a glass bottle, it was supposed with the intention of striking the first defendant, but it missed him and hit Mr. Leisberg on the head, inflicting a severe scalp wound, which bled profusely. The captain came out and restored order after a time, but the fourth defendant who also was drunk, got into the cabin.

The summons against the third defendant was withdrawn, and he was discharged. The first defendant was sentenced to six weeks' hard labour for cutting and wounding, the second was fined \$10 for disorderly conduct, and the fourth was fined \$1.

100







